## REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

## Prop C supporters claim it will save taxpayers money. False!

Any salary savings generated by cutting the City Attorney salary will be far outweighed by the provision that gives Councilmembers their own lawyer. This additional, unnecessary attorney would likely cost taxpayers \$150,000+ annually!

The money wasted by Prop C should instead be spent on police, parks, libraries or roads.

You voted just four years ago to set your City Attorney's salary based on what other city attorneys make in similar cities. This insures Chula Vista can attract the best qualified attorneys to a position that's vital to the City's best interests.

Term limits are appealing to many. But because it is a professional position—not a political one—only 2 of the 12 elected city attorneys in California have term limits.

Finally, Prop C's claims about conflicts of interest are false. The City Attorney has already advised that in any case involving a claim against him, another party <u>could</u> hire outside counsel.

**But don't be fooled.** Ultimately, Prop C is not about salary savings, term limits, or conflicts of interest. It's a blatant attempt to undermine the independence and authority of your elected City Attorney, with no regard for the added costs and dysfunction this will create at City Hall, and for citizens trying to get City services.

Please join community leaders from both parties, the Chula Vista Chamber of Commerce—and many others—and Vote NO on Proposition C.

Kevin O'Neill, former Chula Vista Planning Commissioner and local businessman Bill Hall, former Chula Vista City Councilmember and local attorney

President Chula Vista Chamber of Commerce/Local Resident

## ARGUMENT/REBUTTAL SIGNATURES

(The following statement is required by California Elections Code Section 9600.)

The undersigned authors of the Rebuttal to the a	argument <u>Against Infavor of</u> ballot proposition C	
Direct OR Rebuttal to the at the General election for the City of Chula Visi	In favor of <u>OR</u> Against Letter	
Election Title Jurisdictic	U LE HEKI (III T TOTAL TO THE PAYORAL STREET	
that such argument is true and correct to the best of Their k	crowledge and helief	
nis/ner/their		
At least one of the authors/signers (designated the "filer") shall meet the criteria of being a registered voter in the jurisdiction in which the proposition appears.  Any other signers are not required to meet that or any other criteria and are accounted to the proposition appears.		
Any other signers are not required to meet that or any other criteria and are assumed to appear at the discretion and approval of the filer.		
*Organization Title (Optional)		
NAME (Signature): M. Keri O'New	NAME (Signature):	
NAME (Signature): M. Kevin O'Veill  (Print): M. Kevin O'Veill		
*TITLE (Optional):		
ATTICLE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	*TTTLE (Optional):	
ADDRESS:	ADDRESS:	
<u>.</u>		
DATE: 29 May 12 PHONE:	DATE: PHONE:	
NAME (Signature): 1 - 100940	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Print): WICCIAM/A. 1-/ACC	NAME (Signature):	
*TITLE (Optional): Chuna Vista Chamber of Commerce Reside	(Print):	
"ITTLE (Optional): Church Vista Chamber of Commerce / Reside	*TTTLE (Optional):	
ADDRESS:	ADDRESS:	
DATE: 29 440 12 PHONE:	DATE: PHONE:	
NAME (Signature):		
	NOTE	
	Registrar of Voters policy does not allow candidates, campaign managers,	
may be printed in the *TITLE (Optional):	campaign treasurers, argument or rebuttal signers of ballot measures or	
Information Pamphlet. ADDRESS:	members of their immediate family to	
	host a polling place or act as a poll worker in the jurisdiction in which the	
DATE: PHONE-	candidacy or ballot measure of the	
DATE: PHONE: interested party is being voted upon.		

## **ARGUMENT/REBUTTAL SIGNATURES**

(The following statement is required by California Elections Code Section 9600.)

The undersigned authors of the Rebuttal to the	argument <u>Against Infavor of</u> ballot proposition C	
on det on itemates to the	In Tayor of <u>OR</u> Against Letter	
at the General election for the City of Chula Vis	O DE LICKI UII	
Jansaich	ion Date	
that such argument is true and correct to the best of Their	knowledge and belief.	
his/her/their		
At least one of the authors/signers (designated the "filer") shall meet the criteria of being a registered voter in the jurisdiction in which the proposition appears.  Any other signers are not required to meet that or any other criteria and are required to the criteria and are required to the criteria and are required to the cr		
Any other signers are not required to meet that or any other criteria	a and are assumed to appear at the discretion and approval of the filer.	
*Organization Title (Optional)		
NAME (Signature): M. Kevin O'Veill  (Print): M. Kevin O'Veill	NAME (Cignoture)	
(Name of Name of Spill	NAME (Signature):	
(Print): M. Redix Over	(Print):	
*TITLE (Optional): Langue Stance Commission and land Brass	TITLE (Optional):	
ADDRESS:	ADDRESS:	
Production de la constant de la cons	AUDICOS.	
DATE: 29 May 12 PHONE:	DATE: PHONE:	
NAME (Signature):		
	NAME (Signature):	
	(Print):	
*TITLE (Optional):	*TITLE (Optional):	
ADDRESS:	ADDRESS:	
	ADDILUJ.	
DATE: PHONE:	DATE:PHONE:	
NAME (Signature):		
* If provided, this title (Print):	Registrar of Voters policy does not	
may be printed in the	allow candidates, campaign managers.	
Sample Ballot/Voter *TITLE (Optional):	campaign treasurers, argument or rebuttal signers of ballot measures or	
Information Pamphlot ADDRESS	members of their immediate family to	
host a polling place or act as a poll worker in the jurisdiction in which the		
CATT	candidacy or ballot measure of the	
DATE: PHONE: interested party is being voted upon.		